UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N	
10/717,065	11/19/2003	Vikram Rai	4-2	7231
	7590 10/20/200 strator (Room 3J-219)	EXAMINER		
Lucent Technol 101 Crawfords	logies Inc.	CHO, UN C		
Holmdel, NJ 07			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		10/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/717,00	65	RAI ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Un Cho		2617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF TH 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ry period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of the (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 08 August 2008	1					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	·-			neecution as to th	a marite is			
J)الــا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice t	ander Ex parte Qu	ayic, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🛛	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement					
٥/ك	are subject to restriction	rana, or oldellerr	oquiromoni.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	objected to by the	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
					FR 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
·	•							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have bee	n received in Applicati	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:								
•								

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 5, 8 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 Claims 1, 5, 8 and 14 recites "(Currently Amended), it should recite --(Previously Presented)-- instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 8 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Sindhushayana et al. (US 2006/0114910 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses providing at least one permanent virtual pipe on the high-speed forward channel for transmission of the data bursts (providing a supplemental channel (SCH) for high-speed transmission of data; Lee: Page 3, Paragraph 0048, lines 1 – 9); scheduling transmission of burst segments of the data bursts on the at least one permanent virtual pipe in a round-robin manner among different data bursts (scheduling transmission of burst segments of the data bursts on the SCH channel for a plurality of users); and transmitting the burst segments on the at least one virtual pipe in

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065

Art Unit: 2617

accordance with the scheduling (transmitting according to the scheduling; Lee: Page 3, Paragraph 0048, line 14 through Page 4, Paragraph 0051, line 5).

Page 3

However, Lee as applied above does not specifically disclose comprising a plurality of different width virtual pipes on the high-speed forward channel for transmission of the data bursts, at least one of the plurality of different width permanent virtual pipes being wider than another of the virtual pipes and at least one burst segment of each data burst being scheduled for transmission on the widest virtual pipe. In an analogous art, Sindhushayana remedies the deficiencies of Lee by disclosing a High Data Rate system (HDR) having varying slot sizes and at least one of the slot sizes being wider than others (Sindhushayana: Page 3, Paragraph 0035, line 1 through Paragraph 0036, line 16), thus smaller the slot size higher the throughput and bigger the slot size lower the throughput, as shown in Table 1, moreover, the rate control algorithm is implemented by the remote station in order to determine which base station in the active set can provide the best throughput and to determine the maximum forward link data rate at which the remote station can receive packets with sufficient reliability so that the system can perform the initial data transmission at a high data rate and ramped down as needed (Sindhushayana: Page 4, Paragraph 0042, line 1 through Paragraph 0045, line 13 and Page 5, Paragraph 0051, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Sindhushayana to the system of Lee in order to provide an efficient high data

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065

Art Unit: 2617

Page 4

rate system that can control the data throughput of a wireless communication system based on the report from the remote station along with the use of a scheduler unit which can be configured to schedule a multi-slot packet transmission to a remote station in accordance with a scheduling algorithm to enhance system throughput.

Regarding claim 2, Lee as applied above discloses providing at least one permanent virtual pipe comprises provisioning predetermined channel resources to the at least one virtual pipe (Lee: Page 4, Paragraph 0052, lines 13 – 24).

Regarding claim 5, Lee as applied above does not specifically discloses scheduling transmission of the burst segments of a data burst amongst the different width virtual pipes in a round robin manner. In an analogous art, Sindhushayana remedies the deficiencies of Lee by disclosing that a scheduling unit in the base station monitors the remote stations that are operating within its range and determines which remote station will be the next data packet recipient (Sindhushayana: Page 4, Paragraph 0045, lines 1 – 13). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Sindhushayana to the system of Lee in order to provide an efficient high data rate system that can control the data throughput of a wireless communication system based on the report from the remote station along with the use of a scheduler unit which can be configured to schedule a multi-slot packet transmission to a remote station in accordance with a scheduling algorithm to enhance system throughput.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 6, Lee as applied above discloses wherein the base station operates in accordance with CDMA2000 standards (Lee: Page 3, Paragraph 0037, lines 1-3).

However, Lee as applied above does not specifically disclose that the virtual pipes are provided at widths chosen from among: 19.2kbps, 38.4kbps, 76.8kbps and 153.6kbps. In an analogous art, Sindhushayana remedies the deficiencies of Lee by disclosing that the size of slots are related to data rates such as 38.4kbps, 76.8kbps and 153.6kbps. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Sindhushayana to the system of Lee in order to provide an efficient high data rate system that can control the data throughput of a wireless communication system based on the report from the remote station along with the use of a scheduler unit which can be configured to schedule a multi-slot packet transmission to a remote station in accordance with a scheduling algorithm to enhance system throughput.

Regarding claim 8, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 9, Lee as applied above does not specifically disclose a burst segment control means associated with the at least one permanent virtual pipe for storing when each burst segment is scheduled for transmission, the transmitting means transmitting a burst segment in response to a signal from said burst segment control means to transmit the burst when it is scheduled. In

Art Unit: 2617

an analogous art, Sindhushayana remedies the deficiencies of Lee by disclosing a scheduling unit storing parameters to be used when transmitting multi-packets (Sindhushayana: Page 5, Paragraph 0047, lines 1 – 14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Sindhushayana to the system of Lee in order to provide an efficient high data rate system that can control the data throughput of a wireless communication system based on the report from the remote station along with the use of a scheduler unit which can be configured to schedule a multi-slot packet transmission to a remote station in accordance with a scheduling algorithm to enhance system throughput.

Regarding claim 10, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 2.

Regarding claim 13, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 14, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

4. Claims 3, 7, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Sindhushayana as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of the admitted prior art (hereinafter APA).

Regarding claim 3, Lee in view of Sindhushayana as applied above does not specifically discloses wherein the predetermined channel resources

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065

Art Unit: 2617

comprises a predetermined number of contiguous Walsh codes and a predetermined amount of contiguous real estate on the base station's CDMA ASIC. In an analogous art, the APA clearly discloses the claimed limitation on Page 3, lines 4 – 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of the admitted prior art to the system of Lee in order to provide basic building blocks that is necessary to transmit data at high speeds.

Page 7

Regarding claim 7, Lee in view of the APA as applied above discloses transmitting an ESCAM a predetermined time interval before transmitting a burst segment, the ESCAM providing information for receiving the burst segment (ESCAM is defined in the IS-2000 standard; the APA: Page 2, lines 13 – 22).

Regarding claim 11, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 3.

Regarding claim 15, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them. Note applicant failed to provide any argument in response to non-final Office action mailed 5/9/2008. Thus, the rejection is maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un Cho whose telephone number is (571)272-7919.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,065 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/U. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617